© IIJP 2026 | Volume 2, Issue 3, pp. 1083-1099 | ISSN: 2636-4484

COURT DIGITIZATION AND NATIONAL SECURITY; STRENGTHENING JUSTICE DELIVERY TO COMBAT SECURITY THREATS IN NIGERIA

Linda Malchit Daze, PhD
Department of Legal Studies, Plateau State Polytechnic, Barkin Ladi, Plateau State, Nigeria
lindadombin@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO
Article No.: 0496
Accepted Date: 02/08/2026
Published Date: 21/08/2026
Type: Research

ABSTRACT

Nigeria's increasingly complex security environment, characterised by terrorism, insurgency, banditry, cybercrime, organised crime, and other transnational threats, has exposed significant institutional weaknesses within the justice sector. While national security discourse has traditionally focused on military and law enforcement responses, limited attention has been paid to the strategic role of technology-enabled justice in strengthening national security. This article examines how the digital transformation of the judiciary can enhance the administration of justice while contributing to national resilience, institutional legitimacy, and public trust. The study adopts a doctrinal research methodology, relying on the analysis of constitutional provisions, statutes, judicial decisions, policy documents, international standards, and scholarly literature on court digitisation, cybersecurity, access to justice, and national security. The article finds that technology-enabled justice including electronic filing, digital case management, virtual hearings, electronic evidence management, judicial analytics, interoperable justice information systems, and robust cybersecurity frameworks significantly enhances judicial efficiency, transparency, accountability, and institutional resilience. These capabilities accelerate the prosecution of terrorism, cybercrime, organised crime, and financial crimes, improve inter-agency collaboration, expand access to justice in conflict-affected communities, strengthen witness protection, and reinforce public confidence in the rule of law. The article concludes that justice sector digitisation should be recognised not merely as an administrative reform but as a strategic national security imperative. It recommends the adoption of a nationally coordinated digital justice framework, strengthened cybersecurity for judicial information systems, interoperable digital platforms across justice institutions, continuous capacity building for justice sector personnel, and sustained legislative and financial investment in digital justice infrastructure to ensure a resilient, accessible, and secure justice system in Nigeria.

Keywords: Court Digitization, Technology-Enabled Justice, National Security, Cybersecurity, Access to Justice

© IIJP 2026 | Volume 2, Issue 3, pp. 1083-1099 | ISSN: 2636-4484

Introduction

Nigeria's national security landscape has undergone profound transformation over the past two decades, shaped by the intersection of traditional security threats, transnational criminal networks, technological disruption, and evolving forms of asymmetric conflict. As Africa's most populous country and one of the continent's largest economies, Nigeria occupies a strategic position in global security discussions;[1] however, its security environment has been challenged by a combination of terrorism, violent extremism, communal conflicts, resource-related disputes, organized crime, cyber threats, and institutional pressures that have tested the capacity of state institutions to protect citizens and maintain public trust.

The contemporary trajectory of Nigeria's security challenges can be traced significantly to the emergence of militant groups in the Niger Delta region in the early 2000s, where grievances relating to environmental degradation, resource control, and perceived economic marginalization contributed to the rise of armed militancy.[2] The escalation of attacks on oil infrastructure and kidnapping incidents by groups operating in the region led to major security and economic consequences, prompting the Federal Government of Nigeria to establish the Presidential Amnesty Programme in 2009 as part of efforts to address militancy and promote disarmament, demobilization, and reintegration.[3] The Niger Delta crisis demonstrated early the complexity of modern security threats in Nigeria, where economic, environmental, social, and governance issues became intertwined with national security concerns.

A more significant shift occurred with the rise of the insurgency led by Boko Haram, which evolved from a localized extremist movement into one of the most serious security threats in West Africa.[4] Following violent confrontations between the group and Nigerian security forces in July 2009, including clashes in Borno State that resulted in the death of the group's founder Mohammed Yusuf, Boko Haram intensified its insurgency through suicide bombings, mass abductions, attacks on communities, and assaults on state institutions.[5] The group's activities gained international attention following the abduction of more than 200 schoolgirls from Chibok, Borno State, on 14 April 2014, an incident that triggered the global #BringBackOurGirls campaign and highlighted the international dimension of Nigeria's security crisis.[6] The United Nations Security Council subsequently recognized Boko Haram as a significant terrorist threat, and in 2015 the group was

[1] World Bank '. Nigeria's Tomorrow Must Start Today: The Case for Early Childhood Development' <https://www.worldbank.org/ext/en/country/nigeria?utm_ > Accessed 30/6/2026.
[2] Oluwatoyin, O. Oluwaniyi. 'Oil and Youth Militancy in Nigeria's Niger Delta Region *'Journal of Asian and African Studies, vol. 45 no. 3 2010, p. 309.*
[3] Office of the Administrator, Presidential Amnesty Programme (OAPAP), 'About the Presidential Amnesty Programme' < https://osapnd.gov.ng/about/?utm_ > Accessed 30/6/2026.
[4] Pham ,J. Peter 'Boko Haram: The Strategic Evolution of the Islamic State's West Africa Province' *Journal of Middle East and Africa* vol. 7 no. 1 2016 p.1.
[5] Human's Rights Watch 'Spiraling Violence: Boko Haram Attacks and Security Force Abuses in Nigeria' <https://www.hrw.org/report/2012/10/11/spiraling-violence/boko-haram-attacks-and-security-force-abuses-nigeria?utm_ > Accessed 2/7/2026.
[6] Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), "Nigeria's Battle With Boko Haram" < <https://www.cfr.org/backgrounders/nigerias-battle-boko-haram?utm_source=chatgpt.com> Accessed 30/6/ 2026.

formally aligned with the Islamic State through its affiliation with the Islamic State West Africa Province (ISWAP).[7]

Beyond terrorism, Nigeria's security challenges have expanded to include widespread banditry, kidnapping, and organized criminal activity, particularly across parts of the North-West and North-Central regions.[8] The growing prevalence of armed criminal networks and attacks on rural communities has further complicated the country's internal security environment. These threats have been intensified by factors such as weak border governance, illicit arms trafficking, socioeconomic vulnerabilities, and the increasing use of digital platforms by criminal actors for communication, recruitment, propaganda, financial coordination, and intelligence gathering. The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) and the Global Terrorism Index published by the Institute for Economics & Peace have consistently highlighted the changing nature of security threats globally, emphasizing that modern security risks increasingly operate across physical and digital domains.[9]

The emergence of cyber insecurity has introduced another dimension to Nigeria's national security framework. As government institutions, financial systems, businesses, and critical infrastructure become increasingly dependent on digital technologies, cybersecurity has become inseparable from national security. Nigeria's adoption of digital transformation initiatives has created significant opportunities for economic growth and public service delivery but has also exposed vulnerabilities relating to cybercrime, data protection, digital fraud, and attacks on information systems. Recognizing this evolving threat landscape, Nigeria enacted the Cybercrimes (Prohibition, Prevention, etc.) Act in 2015, establishing a legal framework to address cyber-enabled offences and strengthen cybersecurity governance. The National Cybersecurity Policy and Strategy developed by the Federal Government, including the 2021 revision, further reflects Nigeria's recognition that cyberspace has become a critical domain of national resilience.[10]

At the heart of these security challenges lies a fundamental issue of public confidence: the relationship between citizens and state institutions. National security is not sustained solely through military or law enforcement responses; it is also strengthened through effective institutions, access to justice, accountability, transparency, and public trust. The justice sector occupies a central role in this architecture because courts serve as mechanisms for resolving disputes, enforcing rights, addressing grievances, and reinforcing the legitimacy of the state. However, traditional justice administration processes characterized by manual documentation, delays, limited access to court services, and administrative inefficiencies have historically affected the ability of justice institutions to respond effectively to contemporary challenges.

---

[7] United Nations Security Council. (2020). *ISIL (Da'esh) and Al-Qaida Sanctions Committee: Islamic State West Africa Province (ISWAP) (QDe.162)* < https://main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/content/islamic-state-west-africa-province-iswap-0?utm_ > Accessed 30/6/ 2026..

[8] International Crisis Group. (2020, May 18). *Violence in Nigeria's North West: Rolling Back the Mayhem* (Africa Report No. 288). Brussels: International Crisis Group <https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/west-africa/nigeria/288-violence-nigerias-north-west-rolling-back-mayhem> Accessed 30/6/ 2026.

[9] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), Global Reports on Crime, Cybercrime and Security <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/cybercrime/ > Accessed 30/6/ 2026.

[10] Federal Republic of Nigeria, National Cybersecurity Policy and Strategy (NCPS) 2021 (February 2021). It was developed under the coordination of the Office of the National Security Adviser (ONSA) pursuant to section 41 of the Cybercrimes (Prohibition, Prevention, etc.) Act 2015. The policy establishes Nigeria's national cybersecurity vision, mission, strategic objectives, and implementation framework.

In response to these realities, the digitization of Nigeria's courts has emerged as a significant institutional reform with implications beyond administrative efficiency. The adoption of justice technologies, including electronic filing systems, virtual hearings, digital case management platforms, and improved judicial information systems, represents a shift toward a more accessible, transparent, and resilient justice ecosystem. The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated global recognition of digital justice, demonstrating that courts must be capable of maintaining continuity through technology-enabled processes.[11] In Nigeria, court digitization is increasingly contributing to faster service delivery, improved case tracking, enhanced institutional accountability, and broader access to justice, particularly for citizens who face geographic and procedural barriers.

The future of national security in Nigeria will increasingly depend on the ability of institutions to harness responsible technology to strengthen governance, protect rights, and rebuild confidence in the state. Justice-enabled technology offers a strategic opportunity to address some of the institutional weaknesses that contribute to insecurity by promoting transparency, reducing delays, improving accountability, and strengthening the rule of law. As Nigeria navigates an increasingly complex security environment, the digital transformation of the justice sector is not merely a modernization initiative; it is a critical component of national resilience. By integrating technology, cybersecurity principles, and justice reform, Nigeria can strengthen institutional trust and develop a more secure, accountable, and responsive society capable of meeting the challenges of the twenty-first century.

Meaning and Scope of Court Digitization

Court digitization, sometimes referred to as e-Justice, digital justice, or technology-enabled justice, is the systematic integration of information and communication technologies (ICTs) into judicial administration and court processes to improve the efficiency, accessibility, transparency, accountability, and resilience of justice systems.[12] It extends beyond the mere conversion of paper records into electronic formats and represents the transformation of the entire judicial ecosystem from the filing of cases to case assignment, case management, presentation of evidence, delivery of judgement, enforcement, and archival through secure digital technologies.[13] The European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) describes digital justice as the use of digital technologies to improve the quality and effectiveness of judicial services while safeguarding judicial independence, procedural fairness, and access to justice.[14] Likewise, the United Nations has recognized digital justice as an essential component of modern justice systems capable of expanding access to legal remedies and strengthening institutional resilience.[15]

---

[11] Conference of Chief Justices & Conference of State Court Administrators. (2020). *Guiding Principles for Post-Pandemic Court Technology*. National Center for State Courts (NCSC) < https://ccj.ncsc.org/resources-courts/support-guiding-principles-post-pandemic-court-technology> Accessed 30/6/ 2026..

[12] European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) *Toolkit for Supporting the Implementation of the Guidelines on How to Drive Change towards Cyberjustice* (Council of Europe 2020) <https://rm.coe.int/cepej-toolkit-cyberjustice-en-cepej-2019-7/168094ef3e?utm > Accessed 2/7/2026.

[13] Ibid.

[14] Europeam Commisssion for Efficiency of Justice 'CEPEJ European Ethical Charter on the Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Judicial Systems and Their Environment' https://www.coe.int/en/web/cepej/cepej-european-ethical-charter-on-the-use-of-artificial-intelligence-ai-in-judicial-%20systems-and-their-environment?utm_ Accessed 17th July, 2026.

[15] UNDP 'Digital Justice: Transforming Justice through Emerging Technologies' < https://www.undp.org/asia-pacific/judicial-integrity/digital-justice-transforming-justice-through-emerging-technologies?utm_ > Accessed 17/7/2026.

Modern court digitization encompasses several interconnected technological systems, including electronic filing (e-filing), electronic payment systems, digital case management platforms, virtual hearing facilities, electronic recording and transcription technologies, digital evidence management systems, online dispute resolution mechanisms, judicial analytics, electronic service of court processes, digital judgment repositories, and interoperable databases linking courts with law enforcement agencies, correctional institutions, prosecution services, legal practitioners, and other justice-sector stakeholders. Collectively, these technologies redefine how courts perform their constitutional functions and how justice is experienced by court users.

Court Digitization: Institutional Capabilities

The true significance of court digitization lies not merely in the technologies deployed but in the institutional capacities those technologies create. A digitally enabled court possesses capabilities that are practically impossible within a purely paper-based system. Some of these capabilities include the following.

i. Electronic Case Initiation and Digital Filing

One of the most transformative capacities of court digitization is the ability to commence legal proceedings electronically. Through e-filing platforms, litigants and legal practitioners can file originating processes, affidavits, motions, and other court documents remotely without the need for physical attendance at court registries. Electronic filing eliminates geographical barriers, reduces administrative bottlenecks, minimizes filing errors through automated validation, and significantly shortens the time required for case commencement.

capacity became particularly valuable during the COVID-19 pandemic when many jurisdictions adopted remote filing to ensure continuity of judicial services. In the United Kingdom, the Her/His Magesty's Courts and Tribunals Service expanded its online filing platforms to enable parties to institute proceedings electronically.[16] Similarly, the Federal Judiciary of the United States relies extensively on the Case Management and Electronic Case Files system, allowing lawyers nationwide to file and access court documents electronically.[17]Nigeria has also made notable progress in this regard. The High Courts of Lagos State[18], Rivers State, Delta State, and the National Industrial Court of Nigeria have introduced electronic filing platforms that allow legal practitioners to commence proceedings online, pay filing fees electronically, and receive automated acknowledgement.[19] These reforms have substantially reduced congestion at court registries and improved service delivery.

ii. Intelligent Case Management

Court digitization equips courts with sophisticated case management capabilities that enable judicial officers and court administrators to monitor proceedings throughout the life cycle of every case. Digital case management systems automatically assign suit numbers, track filing dates,

[16] HM Courts & Tribunals Service, *HMCTS E-Filing Service for Citizens and Professionals* (GOV.UK, updated 30 September 2022) <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/hmcts-e-filing-service-for-citizens-and-professionals?utm > Accessed 2/7/2026.

[17] Administrative Office of the United States Courts, *Electronic Filing (CM/ECF)* (United States Courts) <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cm-ecf> Accessed 2/7/2026.

[18] Omodele, A. O., & Olubukola, A. O.'The Pros and Cons of Technology in the Judicial Process in Lagos State, Nigeri'. *African Journal of Humanities and Contemporary Education Research*, *vol. 13 no. 1* 2024. 329. https://doi.org/10.62154/f6zr1292.

[19] Olubukola, Olusaga and Abimbola Davies 'Romote Court Proceeding in Nigeria: Justice Online or Justice on the Line' *International Journal for Court Administration vol. 13 no. 2 2022 p.2. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36745/ijca.448*

schedule hearings, generate reminders, monitor compliance with procedural timelines, and produce performance reports. Unlike manual registers that are susceptible to loss, manipulation, and human error, electronic case management systems provide real-time visibility into case progression. Judges can instantly identify dormant cases, registry staff can monitor compliance with timelines, while court administrators can analyse backlog trends and allocate judicial resources more efficiently.[20]

Singapore provides one of the world's most advanced examples through its Integrated Electronic Litigation System, which allows judges to monitor the progress of every matter digitally from commencement to final disposition.[21] Similar systems are increasingly being adopted across Europe under the guidance of the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice.[22] For Nigeria, where case congestion remains a major concern, digital case management offers an important institutional response to delays that have historically undermined confidence in the judiciary.

iii. Virtual Court Proceedings

Perhaps the most visible feature of court digitization is the capacity to conduct judicial proceedings remotely through secure video-conferencing technologies. Virtual hearings allow judges, lawyers, witnesses, interpreters, correctional officials, and litigants to participate in proceedings from different locations while maintaining procedural safeguards.

Remote hearings proved indispensable during the COVID-19 pandemic. In Australia for instance, it prompted unprecedented reliance in courts on ICT, including online platforms and video conferencing as courts relied extensively on virtual proceedings to ensure continuity of justice while public health restrictions remained in force.[23] Nigeria similarly embraced virtual hearings following the issuance of the National Judicial Council's Guidelines for Court Sittings and Related Matters in the COVID-19 Period in 2020.[24] Several superior courts including those in Lagos, Abuja, Ogun, Ekiti, Borno, and Rivers States conducted remote proceedings using secure digital platforms. The Supreme Court of Nigeria subsequently affirmed the legality of virtual proceedings where they comply with constitutional guarantees of fair hearing and open justice.[25]

The capacity to conduct virtual proceedings has enduring value beyond public health emergencies. It facilitates hearings involving witnesses residing abroad, enhances security in terrorism and organized crime prosecutions, reduces transportation costs for correctional services, protects vulnerable witnesses, and ensures continuity during natural disasters or security crises.

---

[20] National Centre for State Courts '*Caseflow Management: The Right to a Speedy Trial and Just Resolution* (NCSC materials and training manuals)' <https://www.ncsc.org/?utm > Accessed 2/6/2026.

[21] 'eLitigation' <https://www.elitigation.sg/_layouts/IELS/ELITWebHelp/Introduction/About_eLitigation.htm?utm.com>Accessed 19th July, 2026.

[22] Phuong Nguyen, 'Human-centric or Efficiency-driven? Comparative Lessons From AI an Civil Justice Across The EU, China and Singapore' *Revista Ítalo-española De Derecho Procesal*, vol. 1 2026, p. 261 https://doi.org/10.37417/rivitsproc/3339

[23] International Bar Association 'The Global Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic on Commercial Dispute Resolution in the First Seven Months' https://www.ibanet.org/article/bd404ce3-3886-48a8-98f6-38eaaccd5f53 Accessed 19/7/2026.

[24] Ref. No. NUC/CIR/HOC/11/629 20/3/2020.

[25] Attorney-General of Lagos State v. Attorney-General of the Federation & National Assembly (SC/CV/260/2020) and Attorney-General of Ekiti State v. Attorney-General of the Federation & 2 Others (SC/CV/261/2020).

iv. Digital Evidence Management

Modern litigation increasingly involves electronic communications, emails, financial records, surveillance footage, mobile phone data, geospatial information, and social media content. Digitized courts possess the institutional capacity to receive, preserve, authenticate, manage, and present electronic evidence securely throughout judicial proceedings. [26] Electronic evidence management systems maintain comprehensive audit trails documenting every interaction with digital exhibits, thereby preserving evidential integrity and reducing opportunities for tampering. These systems facilitate compliance with evidentiary requirements relating to authenticity, admissibility, and chain of custody. [27] This capability is particularly important in cybercrime, terrorism financing, money laundering, and organized crime cases, where digital evidence frequently constitutes the primary basis of prosecution.

v. Electronic Recording and Digital Transcription

Digitized courtrooms replace handwritten notes with high quality digital audio and video recording systems that capture proceedings accurately and comprehensively. Automated transcription technologies produce searchable electronic records that may be reviewed by judges, appellate courts, and legal practitioners.[28]Accurate digital records significantly reduce disputes concerning what transpired during proceedings, improve appellate review, enhance judicial accountability, and preserve institutional memory.

vi. Digital Service of Court Processes

Court digitization enables electronic service of originating processes, hearing notices, rulings, and judgments through secure electronic platforms, email, and other court-approved digital channels. Automated service systems record the exact time of transmission and receipt, thereby improving proof of service while reducing delays associated with traditional physical service. Electronic service becomes especially valuable where parties are located in different jurisdictions or where security conditions make physical service difficult.

vii. Public Access and Judicial Transparency

Digitized courts significantly expand public access to justice through online portals that allow citizens to verify hearing dates, monitor case status, obtain certified judgments, pay court fees electronically, and access judicial information without unnecessary physical interaction with court officials. Many jurisdictions now publish judgments electronically through searchable online databases. This strengthens legal certainty, promotes consistency in judicial reasoning, enhances legal research, and reinforces transparency by allowing the public to scrutinize judicial decision-making. Nigeria has made important progress through the electronic publication of judgments by the National Industrial Court and the gradual expansion of digital judicial repositories across several superior courts.

---

[26] Novera, Bhatti and 'The Use of Digital Evidence in Criminal Proceedings' *American Journal of Society and Law* 2026 https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.22926.83527.

[27] Maxime, Bérubé , Laurie-Anne Beaulieu , Sophie Allard and Vincent Denault 'From Digital Trace to Evidence: Challenges and Insights from a Trial Case Study' *Science & Justice* vol. 65, Issue 5, 2025 .p.3

[28] National Centre for Courts 'Computer-aided Transcription in the Courts- Executive Summary' <https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/computer-aided-transcription-courts-executive-summary?utm> Accessed 2/6/2026.

viii. Data Analytics and Evidence-Based Judicial Administration

A frequently overlooked capability of court digitization is its capacity to generate reliable judicial data. Electronic case management systems enable courts to analyse case volumes, disposition rates, adjournment patterns, backlog trends, judicial workloads, and average case duration.[29] Such analytics support evidence-based policy formulation, judicial planning, budget allocation, and performance evaluation. Rather than relying on estimates, principal officers can identify systemic inefficiencies and deploy targeted reforms based on objective data. This analytical capability is increasingly recognised by international judicial reform programmes as indispensable to effective court administration.[30]

ix. System Integration Across the Justice Sector

Modern digital courts are increasingly designed to exchange information securely with police agencies, prosecutorial authorities, correctional institutions, legal aid providers, and forensic laboratories. Such interoperability reduces duplication, accelerates criminal justice processes, improves coordination among institutions, and enhances accountability. For national security matters involving terrorism, organized crime, cybercrime, and financial offences, interoperable justice systems facilitate faster exchange of warrants, court orders, detention records, forensic reports, and evidentiary materials while maintaining appropriate safeguards for confidentiality and due process.

x. Cybersecurity and Judicial Resilience

As courts become increasingly digitized, cybersecurity emerges as an indispensable institutional capacity. Judicial information systems contain highly sensitive information relating to criminal investigations, national security proceedings, commercial disputes, family matters, and personal data. Consequently, digital courts must incorporate encryption, multi-factor authentication, secure cloud infrastructure, regular vulnerability assessments, disaster recovery mechanisms, and comprehensive cybersecurity governance. This dimension has assumed heightened significance given the increasing sophistication of cyber threats targeting government institutions worldwide. The integrity of judicial information systems has therefore become integral to the broader architecture of national security.

Prospects of Tech-Enabled Justice in Strengthening National Security in Nigeria

The preceding discussion has established that technology-enabled justice has fundamentally transformed the institutional capacity of courts by enhancing efficiency, transparency, accessibility, accountability, and resilience. Beyond these administrative gains, however, its greatest promise lies in its potential contribution to national security. Contemporary security threats in Nigeria including terrorism, insurgency, banditry, kidnapping, cybercrime, organised criminal networks, oil-related militancy, and transnational financial crimes are increasingly sophisticated, technologically enabled, and transnational in character.[31] They demand equally sophisticated justice institutions capable of processing complex cases efficiently while safeguarding constitutional guarantees of fair hearing and the rule of law. Consequently, technology-enabled

[29] Cinara, Rocha, João Martins, João Álvaro Carvalho, Antônio Suxberger and Delfina Soares 'The Impact of Court Case Management Systems on Judicial Efficiency' *International Journal for Court Administration* vol. 16, Issue 3, 2025.

[30] World Bank Project Appraisal Document (PAD) "*Citizen-Centric Judicial Modernization and Justice Service Delivery Project (P155759)"* <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/675631467995078064/pdf/PAD1600-PAD-P155759-IDA-R2016-0048-1-Box394875B-OUO-9.pdf> Accessed 2/7/2026.

[31] National Security Strategy 2019 <https://nctc.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/NSS-2019.pd>

justice should be viewed not merely as an instrument of judicial modernization but as a strategic component of Nigeria's national security architecture. The principal prospects are examined below.

i. Accelerating the Prosecution of Terrorism and Organised Crime Cases

One of the most significant prospects of technology-enabled justice is its capacity to expedite the investigation and prosecution of terrorism, insurgency, kidnapping, banditry, and organised crime cases.[32] Nigeria's experience with Boko Haram, the Islamic State West Africa Province (ISWAP), armed banditry in the North-West, and widespread kidnapping has demonstrated that prolonged criminal proceedings often weaken deterrence, increase detention costs, frustrate victims, and undermine public confidence in the justice system. Delays also create opportunities for witnesses to become unavailable, evidence to deteriorate, and criminal enterprises to reorganise.

Digital case management systems, electronic filing, automated scheduling, electronic service of court processes, and intelligent case-flow management substantially reduce procedural bottlenecks that traditionally prolong criminal trials. Technology enables courts to monitor compliance with statutory timelines, minimise unnecessary adjournments, prioritise national security cases, and ensure continuous judicial supervision from arraignment to judgment. The result is a justice system capable of delivering timely adjudication while preserving procedural fairness.For offences prosecuted under the Terrorism (Prevention and Prohibition) Act 2022, the Cybercrimes (Prohibition, Prevention, etc.) Act, 2015 (as amended), and the Money Laundering (Prevention and Prohibition) Act 2022, accelerated judicial processes improve the credibility of criminal justice responses and reinforce the deterrent effect of criminal sanctions. Swift justice therefore complements military and law enforcement operations by ensuring that arrested suspects are prosecuted effectively rather than remaining indefinitely within the criminal justice system.

ii. Strengthening the Prosecution of Cybercrime and Digital Offences

Nigeria's rapid digital transformation has expanded opportunities for cybercrime, identity theft, ransomware attacks, financial fraud, cryptocurrency-enabled money laundering, online terrorism financing, and attacks on critical information infrastructure.[33] As discussed earlier, cybersecurity has become inseparable from national security. A justice system that remains predominantly paper-based is ill-equipped to adjudicate offences whose evidence exists almost entirely in digital form. Technology-enabled courts possess the institutional capability to receive, authenticate, preserve, analyse, and present electronic evidence while maintaining complete audit trails and evidential integrity. Electronic evidence management systems facilitate compliance with statutory rules governing admissibility, authenticity, metadata preservation, and chain of custody. Automated transcription, digital recording, electronic document repositories, and secure evidence storage significantly reduce opportunities for manipulation or loss of sensitive evidence.

This institutional capability is particularly important in prosecutions involving hacking, electronic fraud, online terrorist recruitment, cryptocurrency transactions, digital surveillance records, financial intelligence, intercepted communications, and forensic data recovered from electronic devices. The availability of robust digital evidence management enhances prosecutorial

[32] Yusuf, Mamud, Abubakar, 'From Battlefield to Courtroom: Evidentiary Challenges in the Prosecution of Terrorism and Insurgency Cases in Nigeria' 2026.
<SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=6367799 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.6367799 Accessed 20/7/2026.

[33] Edward, Olalekan and Mariam Yusuff 'The Evolution of Cybercrime in Nigeria: Trends and Countermeasures' https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388634325_The_Evolution_of_Cybercrime_in_Nigeria_Trends_and_Countermeasures Accessed 20/7/2026.

success while simultaneously protecting the constitutional rights of accused persons through transparent evidential procedures.

iii. Enhancing Inter-Agency Coordination in National Security Matters

Nigeria's response to contemporary security threats frequently involves multiple institutions, including the police, armed forces, intelligence agencies, the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC), the Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC), the Nigeria Security and Civil Defence Corps (NSCDC), the Nigeria Correctional Service, and the Office of the Attorney-General. Historically, fragmented record keeping and institutional silos have delayed criminal proceedings and weakened coordination among justice sector institutions. [34] A digitally integrated justice ecosystem presents significant prospects for overcoming these institutional limitations. Interoperable judicial information systems enable secure electronic exchange of warrants, detention records, court orders, forensic reports, digital exhibits, and prosecutorial documents among authorised institutions. Such interoperability reduces duplication of effort, minimises administrative delays, strengthens case preparation, and improves institutional accountability.

For terrorism, organised crime, and financial crime prosecutions which often require coordinated intelligence, forensic analysis, and international cooperation technology-enabled interoperability significantly enhances the effectiveness of criminal justice administration[35]. It enables security agencies and the judiciary to function as complementary institutions within a unified national security framework while maintaining judicial independence and procedural safeguards.

iv. Improving Access to Justice in Conflict-Affected and Insecure Communities

Persistent insecurity has severely disrupted access to justice in many parts of Nigeria. Communities affected by insurgency in the North-East, armed banditry in the North-West, communal violence in the Middle Belt, and militancy in parts of the Niger Delta frequently experience destruction of judicial infrastructure, displacement of judicial personnel, restrictions on movement, and significant security risks associated with physical court attendance.[36]Technology-enabled justice offers an important prospect for maintaining judicial services under these challenging conditions. Virtual hearings, remote filing systems, electronic service of court processes, and online judicial platforms enable litigants, legal practitioners, witnesses, and judicial officers to participate in proceedings without unnecessary exposure to security threats. Courts can continue hearing urgent criminal applications, bail proceedings, constitutional matters, and interlocutory applications despite movement restrictions or localised insecurity.

The continuity of judicial services under adverse conditions reinforces the rule of law by preventing the collapse of justice administration during periods of conflict. It also demonstrates

[34] Pope, T., Freeguard, G., & Metcalfe, S. '*Doing Data Justice: Improving How Data Is Collected, Managed and Used in the Justice System'* <*https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/publication/doing-data-justice?utm* > *Accessed 3/6/2026.*

[35] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Global Programme on Strengthening Criminal Justice Systems. UNODC < www.unodc.org/unodc/en/justice-and-prison-reform/global-programme-on-strengthening-criminal-justice-systems.html> Accessed 16/7/July 2026.

[36] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) 'Strategic Vision for Nigeria 2030*'*<https://www.unodc.org/conig/uploads/documents/2024-05-13_UNODC_Strategic_Vision_for_Nigeria_2024-PRINT_VERSION.pdf? > *Accessed 3/6/2026.*

institutional resilience, ensuring that insecurity does not deprive citizens of access to legal remedies or permit impunity to flourish in areas experiencing prolonged violence.

v. Strengthening Public Confidence and Reducing Drivers of Insecurity

National security ultimately depends not only on coercive state capacity but also on public confidence in state institutions. Citizens are more likely to cooperate with law enforcement agencies, report criminal activity, comply with judicial decisions, and reject violent self-help where justice institutions are perceived as efficient, transparent, and impartial. Technology-enabled justice promotes this confidence by reducing opportunities for corruption, administrative discretion, disappearance of case files, manipulation of court records, and unnecessary delays. Electronic filing systems generate automated audit trails, digital case management enables continuous monitoring of proceedings, and online publication of judgments enhances judicial transparency. Public access portals further allow litigants to verify case status without dependence upon informal intermediaries or registry officials.

These reforms directly address institutional weaknesses that frequently contribute to public dissatisfaction with the justice system. By strengthening institutional legitimacy, technology-enabled justice indirectly contributes to reducing social grievances that may otherwise fuel communal violence, vigilantism, organised criminality, and distrust of state institutions.

vi. Supporting Intelligence-Led Judicial Administration Through Data Analytics

Modern digital courts generate extensive judicial data capable of informing evidence-based policy formulation. Electronic case management systems collect information relating to filing patterns, adjournment frequencies, conviction rates, judicial workloads, case duration, geographical crime distribution, and emerging litigation trends. For national security policymakers, such judicial analytics provide valuable institutional intelligence. Analysis of terrorism prosecutions, kidnapping trials, cybercrime cases, financial crime convictions, and organised crime proceedings enables government institutions to identify systemic weaknesses, emerging criminal trends, regional security patterns, and procedural bottlenecks requiring legislative or administrative intervention.Rather than relying solely upon operational intelligence generated by security agencies, judicial data offers an additional evidence base for evaluating the effectiveness of criminal justice policies. Consequently, technology-enabled justice supports more informed allocation of judicial resources, prosecutorial capacity, specialised courts, forensic infrastructure, and legislative reforms directed at addressing evolving security threats.

vii. Protecting Vulnerable Witnesses and Strengthening Witness Participation

Successful prosecution of terrorism, organised crime, kidnapping, and corruption cases frequently depends upon witnesses who may face intimidation, retaliation, or physical harm. Fear of reprisals has historically discouraged witness participation in several high-profile criminal prosecutions in Nigeria. [37] Virtual testimony, secure video-link facilities, remote witness participation, electronic scheduling, and digital identity verification provide practical mechanisms for reducing security risks associated with witness attendance. These technologies permit vulnerable witnesses including victims of terrorism, intelligence personnel, undercover operatives, forensic experts, and protected witnesses to testify without unnecessary exposure. Enhanced witness protection strengthens evidential quality, improves conviction rates, and reinforces the

[37] Oyakhire, Suzzie Onyeka. 'Protection of Victim-Witnesses of Human Rights Violations in Criminal Prosecutions in Nigeria' *The Transnational Human Rights Review*, vol 8. 2021 p.61.

integrity of criminal proceedings while preserving the accused person's constitutional right to confront evidence through fair judicial processes.

viii. Strengthening Judicial Responses to Financial Crimes that Fuel Insecurity

Many contemporary security threats are sustained through illicit financial flows.[38] Terrorist organisations, organised criminal networks, armed bandits, kidnappers, and transnational trafficking syndicates depend upon sophisticated financial systems involving electronic transfers, shell companies, cryptocurrencies, and international transactions.[39]Technology-enabled justice enhances judicial capacity to adjudicate complex financial crime prosecutions involving voluminous electronic banking records, digital transaction histories, forensic accounting reports, and cross-border financial intelligence. Electronic document management systems, searchable databases, automated indexing, and digital evidence platforms enable courts to manage complex financial litigation more efficiently than traditional paper-based processes.Improved prosecution of money laundering, terrorism financing, corruption, and illicit financial flows weakens the economic foundations upon which organised criminal enterprises operate. Consequently, technology-enabled justice contributes indirectly to dismantling criminal networks that threaten Nigeria's national security.

ix. Promoting Institutional Resilience During National Emergencies.

The COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated that justice systems must remain operational during emergencies. Nigeria's experience with insurgency, communal conflicts, natural disasters, pandemics, and widespread insecurity suggests that future disruptions may similarly affect physical court operations. Technology-enabled justice significantly enhances institutional resilience by allowing courts to continue functioning through virtual hearings, electronic filing, digital registries, remote judicial administration, and secure online communication. Continuity of judicial services ensures uninterrupted protection of constitutional rights, effective judicial oversight of executive actions, and timely determination of criminal and civil disputes even during national emergencies.

From a national security perspective, resilient justice institutions prevent governance vacuums that criminal organisations could exploit during periods of instability. They also reinforce constitutional governance by ensuring that emergency conditions do not suspend judicial accountability or access to justice.

x. Reinforcing the Rule of Law as a Foundation for National Security

Ultimately, the greatest prospect of technology-enabled justice lies in its capacity to strengthen the rule of law, which remains the most sustainable foundation of national security. Military operations may suppress immediate threats, but enduring security depends upon institutions capable of enforcing rights, resolving disputes, punishing offenders, protecting due process, and maintaining public confidence in constitutional governance. By reducing delays, enhancing transparency, strengthening judicial accountability, improving access to justice, facilitating effective prosecution of serious offences, and supporting evidence-based judicial administration, technology-enabled justice addresses many of the institutional deficiencies that

---

‘[38] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime & United Nations Conference on Trade and Development "*Conceptual Framework for the Statistical Measurement of Illicit Financial Flows* (2020)"'<https://unctad.org/publication/conceptual-framework-statistical-measurement-illicit-financial-flows?utm_> Accessed 3/6/2026.

[39] Ibid.

historically weakened Nigeria's justice system. Its contribution therefore extends beyond improving court efficiency to strengthening democratic governance, institutional legitimacy, and public trust which are indispensable pillars of sustainable national security.

Accordingly, investment in digital justice infrastructure should no longer be regarded solely as a judicial reform initiative but as a strategic national security imperative. A technologically enabled judiciary complements the work of security agencies by ensuring that offenders are prosecuted efficiently, constitutional rights are protected, institutional accountability is maintained, and public confidence in the justice system is continually reinforced. In this sense, technology-enabled justice constitutes an indispensable component of Nigeria's long-term strategy for addressing terrorism, insurgency, cybercrime, organised criminality, corruption, and other complex threats confronting the Nigerian state.

Conclusion

Technology-enabled justice has emerged as a strategic enabler of national security in Nigeria by strengthening the capacity of the judiciary to deliver timely, transparent and accountable justice in an increasingly complex security environment. As contemporary threats such as terrorism, cybercrime, banditry, kidnapping, organised crime and illicit financial flows become more sophisticated and technology-driven, the justice sector must possess corresponding digital capabilities to investigate, adjudicate and resolve such cases efficiently while safeguarding constitutional rights and the rule of law. Digital case management, electronic filing, virtual proceedings, electronic evidence management, judicial analytics and interoperable justice information systems collectively enhance institutional resilience, improve coordination across the criminal justice sector and reinforce public confidence in state institutions. Ultimately, sustainable national security cannot be achieved through military and law enforcement responses alone; it equally depends on a credible, accessible and technologically resilient justice system capable of ensuring accountability, deterring criminality and maintaining public trust. Accordingly, continued investment in technology-enabled justice should be recognised not merely as judicial reform, but as a strategic national security priority essential to strengthening democratic governance and protecting Nigeria against present and emerging security threats.

Recommendations

i. The National Judicial Council (NJC), in collaboration with state judiciaries, should implement a nationally coordinated digital justice framework that establishes uniform standards for electronic filing, digital case management, virtual proceedings and electronic evidence management across all superior and subordinate courts to eliminate disparities in technological capacity and improve nationwide access to justice.

ii. The Federal Government, through the Federal Ministry of Justice, the National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) and the Office of the National Security Adviser (ONSA), should strengthen cybersecurity protections for judicial information systems by adopting minimum security standards, periodic security audits, multi-factor authentication and disaster recovery mechanisms to safeguard sensitive judicial data and preserve the integrity of court operations.

iii. Nigerian government should adopt unified criminal stakeholder digital platforms that enable the secure exchange of warrants, case files, detention records, forensic reports and electronic evidence, thereby reducing delays and improving the prosecution of terrorism, cybercrime, organised crime and financial crimes.

iv. The National Judicial Institute (NJI) should institutionalise continuous capacity-building programmes for judges, magistrates, court personnel and legal practitioners on digital evidence, cybersecurity, artificial intelligence, electronic case management and emerging technologies to ensure that technological investments are matched by the requisite human capacity.

v. The National Assembly should provide sustained legislative and budgetary support for justice-sector digitisation, including dedicated funding for digital infrastructure, maintenance, broadband connectivity and technology deployment in courts serving conflict-affected and underserved communities, thereby ensuring that access to justice is maintained even during periods of insecurity or national emergencies.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Books and Journal Articles

Bérubé, Maxime, Laurie-Anne Beaulieu, Sophie Allard, and Vincent Denault. "From Digital Trace to Evidence: Challenges and Insights from a Trial Case Study." *Science & Justice* 65, no. 5 (2025): p.3.

Bhatti, Novera. "The Use of Digital Evidence in Criminal Proceedings." *American Journal of Society and Law* (2026). https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.22926.83527.

Nguyen, Phuong. "Human-centric or Efficiency-driven? Comparative Lessons From AI and Civil Justice Across The EU, China and Singapore." *Revista Ítalo-española De Derecho Procesal* 1 (2026): p. 261. https://doi.org/10.37417/rivitsproc/3339.

Olalekan, Edward, and Mariam Yusuff. "The Evolution of Cybercrime in Nigeria: Trends and Countermeasures." https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388634325_The_Evolution_of_Cybercrime_in_Nigeria_Trends_and_Countermeasures. Accessed 20/7/2026.

Oluwaniyi, Oluwatoyin O. "Oil and Youth Militancy in Nigeria's Niger Delta Region." *Journal of Asian and African Studies* 45, no. 3 (2010): p. 309.

Omodele, A. O., and A. O. Olubukola. "The Pros and Cons of Technology in the Judicial Process in Lagos State, Nigeria." *African Journal of Humanities and Contemporary Education Research* 13, no. 1 (2024): 329. https://doi.org/10.62154/f6zr1292.

Oyakhire, Suzzie Onyeka. "Protection of Victim-Witnesses of Human Rights Violations in Criminal Prosecutions in Nigeria." *The Transnational Human Rights Review* 8 (2021): p.61.

Pham, J. Peter. "Boko Haram: The Strategic Evolution of the Islamic State's West Africa Province." *Journal of Middle East and Africa* 7, no. 1 (2016): p.1.

Rocha, Cinara, João Martins, João Álvaro Carvalho, Antônio Suxberger, and Delfina Soares. "The Impact of Court Case Management Systems on Judicial Efficiency." *International Journal for Court Administration* 16, no. 3 (2025).

Olubukola, Olusaga, and Abimbola Davies. "Remote Court Proceeding in Nigeria: Justice Online or Justice on the Line." *International Journal for Court Administration* 13, no. 2 (2022): p.2. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36745/ijca.448.

Yusuf, Mamud, and Abubakar. "From Battlefield to Courtroom: Evidentiary Challenges in the Prosecution of Terrorism and Insurgency Cases in Nigeria" (2026). SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=6367799 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.6367799. Accessed 20/7/2026.

Official Documents and Reports

Administrative Office of the United States Courts. "Electronic Filing (CM/ECF)." United States Courts. https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cm-ecf. Accessed 2/7/2026.

Conference of Chief Justices and Conference of State Court Administrators. "Guiding Principles for Post-Pandemic Court Technology." National Center for State Courts (NCSC), 2020. https://ccj.ncsc.org/resources-courts/support-guiding-principles-post-pandemic-court-technology. Accessed 30/6/2026.

Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). "Nigeria's Battle With Boko Haram." https://www.cfr.org/backgrounders/nigerias-battle-boko-haram. Accessed 30/6/2026.

European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ). "CEPEJ European Ethical Charter on the Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Judicial Systems and Their Environment." Council of Europe. https://www.coe.int/en/web/cepej/cepej-european-ethical-charter-on-the-use-of-artificial-intelligence-ai-in-judicial-%20systems-and-their-environment. Accessed 17/7/2026.

European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ). "Toolkit for Supporting the Implementation of the Guidelines on How to Drive Change towards Cyberjustice." Council of Europe, 2020. https://rm.coe.int/cepej-toolkit-cyberjustice-en-cepej-2019-7/168094ef3e. Accessed 2/7/2026.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. "National Cybersecurity Policy and Strategy (NCPS) 2021." February 2021.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. "National Security Strategy 2019." https://nctc.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/NSS-2019.pd.

HM Courts and Tribunals Service. "HMCTS E-Filing Service for Citizens and Professionals." GOV.UK, updated 30 September 2022. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/hmcts-e-filing-service-for-citizens-and-professionals. Accessed 2/7/2026.

Human Rights Watch. "Spiraling Violence: Boko Haram Attacks and Security Force Abuses in Nigeria." 2012. https://www.hrw.org/report/2012/10/11/spiraling-violence/boko-haram-attacks-and-security-force-abuses-nigeria. Accessed 2/7/2026.

International Bar Association. "The Global Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic on Commercial Dispute Resolution in the First Seven Months." https://www.ibanet.org/article/bd404ce3-3886-48a8-98f6-38eaaccd5f53. Accessed 19/7/2026.

International Crisis Group. "Violence in Nigeria's North West: Rolling Back the Mayhem." Africa Report No. 288. Brussels, 18 May 2020. https://www.crisisgroup.org/rpt/africa/nigeria/288-violence-nigerias-north-west-rolling-back-mayhem. Accessed 30/6/2026.

National Centre for State Courts. "Caseflow Management: The Right to a Speedy Trial and Just Resolution (NCSC materials and training manuals)." https://www.ncsc.org/resources-courts/case-management. Accessed 2/6/2026.

National Centre for State Courts. "Computer-aided Transcription in the Courts - Executive Summary." https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/computer-aided-transcription-courts-executive-summary. Accessed 2/6/2026.

National Judicial Council. Guidelines for Court Sittings and Related Matters in the COVID-19 Period. Ref. No. NUC/CIR/HOC/11/629, 20/3/2020.

Office of the Administrator, Presidential Amnesty Programme (OAPAP). "About the Presidential Amnesty Programme." https://osapnd.gov.ng/about/. Accessed 30/6/2026.

Pope, T., G. Freeguard, and S. Metcalfe. "Doing Data Justice: Improving How Data Is Collected, Managed and Used in the Justice System." Institute for Government. https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/publication/doing-data-justice. Accessed 3/6/2026.

Singapore Courts. "eLitigation." https://www.elitigation.sg/_layouts/IELS/ELITWebHelp/Introduction/About_eLitigation.htm. Accessed 19/7/2026.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). "Digital Justice: Transforming Justice through Emerging Technologies." https://www.undp.org/asia-pacific/judicial-integrity/digital-justice-transforming-justice-through-emerging-technologies. Accessed 17/7/2026.

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). "Global Programme on Strengthening Criminal Justice Systems." www.unodc.org/unodc/en/justice-and-prison-reform/global-programme-on-strengthening-criminal-justice-systems.html. Accessed 16/7/2026.

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). "Global Reports on Crime, Cybercrime and Security." https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/cybercrime/. Accessed 30/6/2026.

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). "Strategic Vision for Nigeria 2030." https://www.unodc.org/conig/uploads/documents/2024-05-13_UNODC_Strategic_Vision_for_Nigeria_2024-PRINT_VERSION.pdf. Accessed 3/6/2026.

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. "Conceptual Framework for the Statistical Measurement of Illicit Financial Flows" (2020). https://www.unodc.org/documents/data-and-analysis/statistics/IFF/IFF_Conceptual_Framework_FINAL.pdf. Accessed 3/6/2026.

United Nations Security Council. "ISIL (Da'esh) and Al-Qaida Sanctions Committee: Islamic State West Africa Province (ISWAP) (QDe.162)" (2020). https://main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/content/islamic-state-west-africa-province-iswap-0. Accessed 30/6/2026.

World Bank. "Nigeria's Tomorrow Must Start Today: The Case for Early Childhood Development." https://www.worldbank.org/ext/en/country/nigeria. Accessed 30/6/2026.

World Bank. "Citizen-Centric Judicial Modernization and Justice Service Delivery Project (P155759)." Project Appraisal Document. https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/675631467995078064/pdf/PAD1600-PAD-P155759-IDA-R2016-0048-1-Box394875B-OUO-9.pdf. Accessed 2/7/2026.

Case Law

Attorney-General of Lagos State v. Attorney-General of the Federation & National Assembly (SC/CV/260/2020).

Attorney-General of Ekiti State v. Attorney-General of the Federation & 2 Others (SC/CV/261/2020).