impact International Journals and Publications

© IIJP 2026 | Volume 2, Issue 2, pp. 1600-1614 | ISSN: 2636-4484

TRADE AND INVESTMENT RELATIONS BETWEEN ROMANIA AND NIGERIA, 1968–2024: TRENDS, CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTS

Oluwafemi Agboola, PhD
Department of International Relations, Atiba University, Oyo, Nigeria
***Email**: agboolaoluwafemi01@gmail.com/oluwafemiagboola@atibauniversity.edu.ng*
***ORCID**: 0009-0005-5703-3326*

ARTICLE INFO
Article No.: 0389
Accepted Date: 02/06/2026
Published Date: 26/06/2026
Type: Research

ABSTRACT
This study evaluates the evolution of economic relations between Romania and Nigeria from 1968 to 2024, examine on trade relations, investment flows, economic diplomacy and bilateral relation. Using a historical–descriptive research design, the study based on secondary data gotten from the United Nations Comtrade Database (UN Comtrade), the Observatory of Economic Complexity (OEC), World Bank datasets, IMF reports, UNCTAD publications and vital scholarly literature. The study focuses on the historical trajectory and changing dynamics of Romania–Nigeria economic relation within the model of Economic Interdependence Theory. Findings highlight that diplomatic engagements between Romania and Nigeria have remained stable since their establishment in 1968, while economic engagements have developed at a relatively modest way. Existing trade data indicate that bilateral trade volumes have generally remained little, fluctuating between approximately US$10 million and US$30 million annually for much of the modern era, although periodic increases have existed. Romania's exports to Nigeria are preponderantly machinery, transport equipment, electrical products, chemicals and other manufactured goods, whereas Nigeria's exports to Romania consist mainly of crude oil, mineral products, agricultural commodities and other major goods. The analysis exposes a persistent trade asymmetry favouring Romania, limited bilateral investment flows and feeble institutional mechanisms for sustaining long-term economic relation. Nevertheless, modern engagement initiatives in agriculture, education, technology transfer, renewable energy and trade promotion indicate major opportunities for upholding bilateral economic relation. The study summarizes that Romania–Nigeria economic relations remain underdeveloped relative to their potential. Strengthening trade facilitation mechanisms, enhancing logistics connectivity, enable institutional relation and promoting private-sector participation could significantly expand bilateral trade and investment engagements in the coming years.

Keywords: Romania, Nigeria, Economic Relations, Bilateral Trade, Foreign Direct Investment, Economic Diplomacy, International Cooperation.

Introduction

Economic relations among states have become increasingly central in the contemporary international system, majorly under conditions of globalization, regional transformation and deepening economic interdependence. In the modern international political economy, economic relation is no longer merely an adjunct to diplomacy but a primary tool of statecraft through which states pursue strategic, developmental and geopolitical objectives. Trade flows, investment linkages, financial relation and technology transfers are now widely recognized as integral components of foreign policy, shaping both the material capabilities of states and their positions within the global hierarchy of power.

Within this transformative context, bilateral economic relation functions as a major mechanism for enhancing trade expansion, attracting foreign direct investment, facilitating industrial upgrading, enabling technology transfer and promoting economic diversification. It also serves as a stabilizing catalyst in international relations, as sustained economic interdependence often reduces transaction uncertainty and provides incentives for cooperative behaviour between countries. The consequence of this is that, economic diplomacy has become an increasingly important policy instrument through which states seek to align foreign relations with domestic development priorities, enhance competitiveness and integrate more effectively into global value chains (Akindele, 1986; Okolie, 2009).

From a theoretical perspective, these developments are strongly related with liberal approaches to international relations, majorly Economic Interdependence Theory. This framework posits that increasing economic transactions among states generate complex interdependencies that shape foreign policy behaviour, reduce the vestige of conflict and promoting incentives for relation (Keohane & Nye, 1977). However, modern applications of the theory also emphasize that interdependence is seldomly symmetrical; instead, it often creates uneven distributions of gains, susceptibilities and structural power, depending on differences in industrial capacity, technological advancement and institutional capability. These asymmetries are particularly visible in relations between developed and developing economies, where trade and investment flows may reinforce existing international inequalities even as they provide opportunities for cooperation.

It is against this conceptual background, Romania and Nigeria symbolize a majorly interesting case of bilateral economic relation. Both states occupy distinct positions within the international political economy and are embedded in different regional transformation models. Romania is a post-socialist Eastern European state and a member of the European Union, having undergone profound structural integration since the end of communism in 1989. Its transition from a centrally planned economy to a market-oriented system has been accompanied by industrial of the modern era, regulatory harmonization and transformation into European and global production networks. As an EU member country, Romania benefits from access to a large single market, advanced technological systems and coordinated trade policies that boost its foreign economic reach.

Nigeria, in contrast, is Africa's most populous state and one of the continent's largest economies. It possesses abundant natural resources, such as oil and gas, significant agricultural potential and a large and youthful population that symbolizes a major demographic and consumer market benefits. However, Nigeria's economic structure remains heavily based on major commodity exports, particularly hydrocarbons and is featured by obstacles such as infrastructural deficits, exchange rate volatility, institutional inefficiencies and limited industrial diversification. These structural limits have significant consequences for its foreign economic relations, particularly in terms of trade composition, investment attractiveness and integration into global value chains (World Bank, 2020; United Nations, 2022).

The structural disparities between Romania and Nigeria provide a potentially complementary but asymmetrical economic relationship. Romania's industrial and technological capabilities relate with Nigeria's demand for manufactured goods, machinery and industrial inputs, while Nigeria's resource base and large market provide export opportunities and long-term investment potential for Romanian companies. Despite this apparent complementarity, actual economic interaction between the two states has historically remained restricted in scale and scope.

Diplomatic engagements between Romania and Nigeria were officially established in 1968, marking the beginning of structured bilateral relation. This development occurred within a wider international context featured by Cold War geopolitical competition, decolonization and the emergence of modern independent countries seeking diversified global partnerships. During this era, Romania pursued a relatively independent foreign policy within the Eastern Bloc, engaging actively with developing states in Africa, Asia and Latin America through trade engagements, technical assistance and educational relation. Nigeria, having gained independence in 1960, adopted a non-aligned and diversification-oriented foreign policy targeted at expanding its global economic and diplomatic partnerships beyond traditional Western actors. These converging foreign policy orientations established early opportunities for Romania–Nigeria relation. In the initial decades prior to diplomatic recognition, bilateral engagements were majorly diplomatic and exploratory in nature, with restricted but symbolically relevant economic engagements. These included modest trade exchanges, educational scholarships, technical cooperation programs and occasional diplomatic visitation. However, these early relations did not translate into sustained or large-scale economic transformation.

Over the subsequent decades, Romania–Nigeria economic engagements evolved in a gradual but uneven manner. While diplomatic engagements remained stable, economic relation continued to be featured by low trade volumes, restricted investment flows and feeble institutionalization. Trade between the two states has generally remained modest relative to their respective economic sizes and international trade participation. Investment engagements have also been minimal, with limited presence of Romanian companies in Nigeria and negligible Nigerian investment activity in Romania. This paradigm suggests that the bilateral relationship is more diplomatically anchored than economically intensive.

Despite these restrictions, there have been emerging signs of renewed interest in upholding economic relation between the two states. In recent years, both countries have engaged in promoted diplomatic consultations, business forums and sector-specific discussions aimed at expanding relation in sphere such as agriculture, renewable energy, manufacturing, education, healthcare and digital technology. These developments indicate the existence of latent economic potential that remains largely underexploited owing to structural and institutional limitations.

From an academic perspective, existing literature on Romania–Nigeria economic relations remains limited but gradually expanding. Earlier studies tend to focus on broader Africa–Europe economic relations or Nigeria's external economic policy in general, with only limited focus to Romania as a specific bilateral partner. More recent practical works have begun to address this gap. For example, a 2024 study published in the *European Journal of Logistics and Supply Chain Management* examined Nigeria–Romania trade engagements using empirical data analysis and identified modest trade growth alongside structural limitations which include weak logistics systems, limited private-sector engagement and insufficient institutional coordination. Equally, a 2022 study on Romania's trade relations with Sub-Saharan Africa increased persistent structural asymmetries in trade composition, with Romania exporting mainly manufactured goods and importing majorly raw materials from African economies, which including Nigeria.

While these studies create valuable insights, they remain limited in vital respects. First, they are largely contemporary in focus and do not provide a comprehensive historical account of Romania–Nigeria economic engagements from their inception in 1968. Second, they tend to emphasize trade flows while paying little attention to other dimensions of economic relation such as investment relations, economic diplomacy, institutional engagement and structural integration over time. Third, they often treat Romania–Nigeria relations as part of wider regional analyses rather than as a distinct bilateral case deserving targeted longitudinal investigation.

This provides a clear gap in the literature. Currently no comprehensive study that systematically traces the evolution of Romania–Nigeria economic relations over a long historical era, integrating trade data, investment trends and diplomatic-economic interactions within a unified analytical model. In particular, there is limited understanding of how structural transformations—such as Romania's integrate to a market economy and accession to the European Union in 2007, or Nigeria's transforming economic reforms and diversification efforts—have influenced the trajectory of bilateral engagements.

Furthermore, while Economic Interdependence Theory creates a useful conceptual framework for analyzing global economic relations, its application to Romania–Nigeria interactions remains underdeveloped. Existing studies have not fully find how asymmetries in interdependence manifest in trade paradigms, investment flows and institutional relation between the two states over time. Nor have they adequately examined how economic diplomacy mediates these interactions in the absence of strong private-sector transformation.

It is against this background, this study examines the evolution of economic relations between Romania and Nigeria from 1968 to 2024. It employs a historical–descriptive approach supported by empirical trade and macroeconomic data drawn from UN Comtrade, the Observatory of Economic Complexity (OEC), the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). The study also adopts qualitative analysis of policy documents, diplomatic records and existing scholarly literature to give a comprehensive account of bilateral economic interaction.

Specifically, the study examines the historical foundations of Romania–Nigeria relations, models of bilateral trade and investment, the role of economic diplomacy, structural constraints limiting deeper relation and emerging benefits for future engagement. By situating these dynamics within the model of Economic Interdependence Theory, the study adds to broader debates in global political economy on asymmetrical interdependence, South–North economic relations and the developmental consequences of bilateral relation between structurally different economies.

In doing this, the study employs both empirical and theoretical contributions. Empirically, it fills a significant gap in the literature by offering a longitudinal discourse of Romania–Nigeria economic relations over a five decades period. Theoretically, it extends the application of Economic Interdependence Theory to a less-explored bilateral case, indicating how interdependence operates in contexts featured by uneven development, institutional asymmetry and low economic integration.

Ultimately, the study contends that while Romania–Nigeria interactions are institutionally stable and diplomatically sustained, they remain economically underdeveloped relative to their potential. The relationship is featured by low-intensity trade, minimal investment flows and feeble institutionalization, reflecting structural limitations rather than a lack of economic complementarity. However, the existence of untapped opportunities in sectors including agriculture, manufacturing, renewable energy, ICT and education indicates that the bilateral relationship could integrate into a more robust form of interdependence if supported by stronger institutional frameworks and deeper private-sector relation.

Theoretical Framework

This study is based on Economic Interdependence Theory, a major analytical perspective within the liberal tradition of global relations, most notably developed and refined by Robert Keohane and Joseph Nye in their seminal work *Power and Interdependence* (1977). Emerging in the 1970s as a critical reaction to the dominance of realist assumptions in international relations theory, Economic Interdependence Theory challenges the view that international politics is driven majorly or exclusively by military capabilities, territorial security concerns and strategic rivalry among countries. Instead, it advances the proposition that the growing density and complexity of economic, institutional and transnational linkages have fundamentally integrated the structure and dynamics of international relations.

At its core, the theory highlights a broader intellectual shift in global relations scholarship toward recognizing the increasing importance of economic globalization and cross-border interdependence. The post–World War II expansion of global trade, the proliferation of multinational corporations, the emergence of international financial markets and the strengthening of global institutions all contribute to a international environment in which countries are no longer isolated actors but are embedded in dense networks of economic engagement. Within this evolving system, economic relations rapidly shape diplomatic behavior, foreign policy priorities and models of global cooperation.

Economic Interdependence Theory opines that countries are linked through multiple and overlapping channels of engagement. These channels include not only trade in goods and services, but also foreign direct investment, global finance, technology transfer, communication systems, migration flows and institutional relation within global organizations and regional integration frameworks. These linkages generate paradigms of mutual dependence, in which developments within one country can produce significant and sometimes immediate effects on the economic welfare, policy choices and strategic calculations of another state.

As interdependence deepens, countries become increasingly sensitive to foreign economic conditions. This sensitivity manifests in the rapid transmission of economic shocks, price fluctuations, policy changes and shifts in international demand across borders. At the same time, interdependence provides incentives for cooperation, as countries recognize that sustained economic engagement can generate mutual benefits which include increased market access, efficiency gains, technological diffusion and investment opportunities. In this wise, the theory reframes global relations not as a zero-sum tussle for power, but as a complex system of reciprocal dependencies in which cooperation and competition intertwined.

A major analytical benefit of Economic Interdependence Theory hinges on its departure from the realist conception of states as isolated, unitary actors operating in an anarchic global system. Instead, Keohane and Nye emphasize the segmented and multidimensional nature of modern international politics, in which multiple actors influence outputs across different issue areas. These actors include not only sovereign countries but also multinational corporations, global financial institutions, regional organizations, non-governmental organizations and transnational advocacy networks. Within this model, economic engagements usually occur independently of direct political or military considerations, thereby providing a more decentralized and interconnected international system.

In such a system, economic considerations rapidly influence foreign policy behavior. States are forced to take into account trade dependencies, investment flows, access to foreign markets and participation in international production networks when formulating foreign relations. Consequently, diplomatic interaction is no longer restricted to traditional security issues but is also shaped by economic priorities such as export diversification, industrial competitiveness and foreign investment attraction. This is majorly important in modern international political economy, where economic statecraft has become a major tool of national strategy.

A critical refinement within Economic Interdependence Theory is its nuance between sensitivity interdependence and vulnerability interdependence. These two concepts provide a more distinct understanding of how countries are affected by foreign economic changes and how they react to such changes over time. Sensitivity interdependence refers to the degree to which changes in a state produce immediate and measurable effects in another before policy adjustments are enforced. It pictures the speed and intensity with which economic shocks are transmitted across borders under existing institutional schemes. For instance, changes in international commodity prices, changes in European Union trade regulations, or fluctuations in global demand can rapidly influence trade flows between Romania and Nigeria. In this discourse, sensitivity is evident in how Nigeria's export performance may react quickly to changes in European market standards or demand conditions, while Romania's import demand for specific commodities may be erratic in reaction to international energy or raw material price changes.

Sensitivity, therefore, indicates the short-term responsiveness of bilateral economic engagements to foreign shocks. It underscores that even relatively modest trade relationships can be significantly affected by changes in international economic conditions, particularly when states are transformed—however unequally—into global markets. In the Romania–Nigeria context, sensitivity is observable in the way trade volumes react to macroeconomic cycles, policy adjustments within the European Union and shifts in international supply and demand conditions.

Vulnerability interdependence, on the other hand, captures a wider and more structural dimension of dependence. It refers to the costs a country incurs when it attempts to adjust to foreign changes by restructuring its economic relationships or seeking alternative schemes. Unlike sensitivity, which focuses on immediate effects, vulnerability analyzes long-term adjustment capacity and structural resilience.

In this respect, Nigeria's vulnerability is more pronounced owing to its dependence on a narrow range of major commodity exports, especially hydrocarbons, agricultural raw materials and other low-value-added goods. This export structure restricts Nigeria's ability to quickly substitute markets or diversify production in reaction to foreign shocks. When international demand for oil fluctuates or when regulatory changes in major importing regions occur, Nigeria faces significant adjustment costs owing to its low industrial diversification and limited technological base.

Romania, by contrast, exhibits relatively lower vulnerability owingto its more diversified industrial economy and its transformation into the European Union's economic and regulatory model. EU membership allows Romania with access to a large foreign market, shared trade policies, institutional stabilization mechanisms and coordinated economic governance structures. These characteristics reduce adjustment costs and boost economic resilience in the face of foreign shocks. Consequently, Romania is better positioned to absorb changes in foreign demand conditions or adjust trade patterns without experiencing strict structural disruptions.

The distinction between sensitivity and vulnerability is majorly essential for this study because it allows for a more precise analysis of asymmetries in Romania–Nigeria economic engagement. Instead of treating interdependence as a uniform condition, these concepts enable the identification of differences in adjustment capacity, exposure to foreign shocks and structural flexibility between the two states. This analytical refinement is integral for understanding how economic relations evolve over time and how benefits and costs are distributed between partners with different levels of development and industrial capacity.

The relevance of Economic Interdependence Theory to this study hinges on its ability to explain not only the existence of Romania–Nigeria economic interactions, but also their structure, evolution and persistence since 1968. Despite relatively low trade volumes and limited investment flows, both states have maintained continuous diplomatic and economic interaction over 56 years. This persistence reflects the logic of interdependence, in which even modest levels of economic engagement can generate incentives for sustained interaction owing to mutual, albeit uneven, benefits.

Within this framework, Romania–Nigeria relations are conceptualized as a form of asymmetrical interdependence featured by potential mutual gains but uneven distribution of economic advantages and adjustment costs. Romania benefits from access to emerging African markets, opportunities for export expansion and strategic relation within West Africa's growing consumer base. Its industrial capabilities, technological capacity and integration into European production systems enhance its ability to supply manufactured goods, machinery and industrial inputs to foreign markets.

Nigeria, on the other hand, benefits from various modes ranging from access to industrial technology, manufactured goods, technical expertise, educational exchange benefits and potential integration into European value chains through Romania's membership in the European Union. These benefits are majorly relevant for Nigeria's long-term development objectives, which include industrial diversification, technological upgrading and export expansion. However, the realization of these benefits is conditioned by structural limitations such as infrastructure deficits, institutional weaknesses and limited manufacturing capacity.

Fundamentally, the theory does not assume that these benefits are automatically distributed evenly between both states. Instead, it creates a framework for empirically examining the distributional consequences of economic engagement. In this discourse, asymmetry is assessed through trade composition, investment patterns and differential adjustment capacities, allowing for a more robust understanding of how interdependence operates in empirical form.

In addressing wider theoretical critiques, majorly those related with dependency theory, Economic Interdependence Theory is applied here in a manner that recognizes the possibility of unequal outputs within interdependent interactions. Rather than assuming that economic relation inherently produces balanced or equitable gains, the analysis identifies that interdependence can coexist with structural inequality. This is highlighted in the examination of trade structures dominated by manufactured goods from Romania and major commodities from Nigeria, as well as in the limited scale of bilateral investment flows.

By adopting this perspective, the study ensures that issues of inequality, uneven development and structural constraint are not excluded from the analysis. Instead, they are integrated into a wider liberal framework that also accounts for cooperation, mutual benefit and institutional engagement. This approach allows for a more comprehensive understanding of Romania–Nigeria economic interactions, capturing both the cooperative and asymmetrical dimensions of their relation.

Despite its limitations, Economic Interdependence Theory is the most appropriate framework for this study because it creates a coherent set of analytical instruments for examining economic linkages, adjustment costs and transnational engagements over time. Its emphasis on sensitivity, vulnerability and asymmetry makes it particularly apt for analyzing relationships between states with differing levels of industrial development and international integration.

Accordingly, this study employs Economic Interdependence Theory to underscore the evolution of Romania–Nigeria economic engagements from 1968 to 2024. The model is employed to analyze trade dynamics, investment flows, institutional relation and structural constraints shaping bilateral interaction. Through this theoretical lens, the study seeks to provide a systematic understanding of how economic interdependence evolves, persists and remains unevenly distributed in a long-term bilateral relationship between a European Union member state and a major African economy.

Methodology

Research Design

This study employs a historical-descriptive research design to evaluate the evolution of economic engagements between Romania and Nigeria from 1968 to 2024. This design is appropriate for longitudinal analysis because it facilitates systematic examination of historical developments, institutional changes and evolving trade and investment patterns over time. Historical analysis is employed to reconstruct major phases of bilateral engagements, while descriptive analysis is used to identify trends in trade flows, sectoral composition and economic cooperation outcomes.

Sources of Data

This study relies exclusively on secondary data obtained from publicly available international databases and published institutional reports. No restricted, confidential, or unpublished diplomatic archives were accessed. Quantitative data are drawn from the United Nations Comtrade Database for bilateral trade flows and commodity structure, the Observatory of Economic Complexity for trade composition and export-import profiles, the World Bank World Development Indicators for macroeconomic indicators, the International Monetary Fund Data Portal for external sector indicators, the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development for investment statistics and the United Nations Development Programme for development indicators. Qualitative contextual interpretation depends on publicly available policy reports, academic literature and international organisation publications.

Quantitative Data Sources and Variables

Quantitative analysis is based primarily on UN Comtrade and Observatory of Economic Complexity datasets, supplemented by World Bank and International Monetary Fund indicators. The major variables include bilateral exports and imports between Romania and Nigeria, trade balance trends, commodity and sectoral trade structure, GDP and macroeconomic indicators influencing trade performance and foreign direct investment flows where available from UNCTAD data. These variables are employed to construct a longitudinal profile of Romania–Nigeria economic engagements spanning from 1968 to 2024.

Data Collection Procedure

Data were systematically extracted from UN Comtrade, the Observatory of Economic Complexity, the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund and UNCTAD databases. Dataset selection was guided by relevance to trade cooperation, investment flows and macroeconomic outcomes. Data were organised chronologically and thematically to enable trend analysis over time. Where historical data gaps exist for earlier periods, UN Comtrade and Observatory of Economic Complexity historical reconstructions were employed as standardised approximations where available.

Method of Data Analysis

This study employs a mixed qualitative-quantitative analytical approach. For quantitative analysis, descriptive statistical techniques are employed to evaluate trade volume trends, export and import shares, sectoral composition and long-term fluctuations in bilateral trade. Trend analysis is used to identify structural changes over time. For qualitative analysis, content analysis is applied to policy reports and institutional publications to interpret themes in economic diplomacy and bilateral cooperation. Findings are presented using tables generated from UN Comtrade and Observatory of Economic Complexity datasets, trend summaries of trade evolution and sectoral breakdowns of export-import structures.

Validity, Reliability and Triangulation

Data are cross-verified across UN Comtrade, the Observatory of Economic Complexity, the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund and UNCTAD databases to ensure consistency. Triangulation reduces measurement bias and enhances reliability. Where discrepancies are identified, preference is given to standardised UN datasets, particularly UN Comtrade and the World Bank, owing to their methodological consistency.

Scope and Limitations

The study covers Romania–Nigeria economic relations from 1968 to 2024, focusing on trade relations, investment flows and economic cooperation. Limitations include incomplete historical trade data for early years, inconsistencies across reporting systems and limited availability of disaggregated bilateral investment data. The study depends primarily on publicly available secondary datasets.

Justification of Methodological Choice

The historical-descriptive design is justified by the longitudinal nature of the study and the distribution of key economic data across international institutions. It facilitates integration of historical interpretation with empirical trade analysis to explain the evolution of Romania–Nigeria economic engagements.

Results

Historical Evolution of Romania–Nigeria Economic Relations (1968–2024)

Quantitative evidence from UN Comtrade and OEC datasets highlights consistently low but gradually increasing trade flows across distinct historical stages.

Period	Romania → Nigeria (USD million avg/year)	Nigeria → Romania (USD million avg/year)	Total Trade Pattern
1968–1979	2–5	1–3	Minimal / exploratory
1980–1999	5–12	3–8	Low-intensity exchange
2000–2006	10–22	8–18	Gradual expansion
2007–2014	25–55	15–30	Post-EU accession increase
2015–2024	40–120	20–80	Moderate but uneven growth

The historical trajectory of Romania-Nigeria economic engagement reflects gradual but structurally limited economic relations. Following the establishment of diplomatic relations in 1968, both countries entered into limited but continuous economic interaction within the wider Cold War and post-colonial global economic structure. Quantitative evidence from UN Comtrade and OEC datasets highlights consistently low but gradually increasing trade flows across distinct historical stages, revealing a persistent upward trajectory that accelerated notably after 2007 when Romania's accession to the European Union enhanced its industrial competitiveness and export transformation capacity. The data indicate that while trade volumes have grown from a mere USD 2-5 million annually during the initial exploratory period (1968-1979) to approximately USD 40-120 million in recent years (2015-2024), this growth remains structurally restricted relative to the GDP size and international trade profiles of both states. This persistent underperformance relative to economic potential suggests that while diplomatic relations have provided a stable foundation for engagement, deeper economic integration has been constrained by structural factors that transcend the mere passage of time, including logistical inefficiencies, limited firm presence and weak institutional trade facilitation mechanisms that have collectively prevented the bilateral relationship from realizing its full economic potential.

Bilateral Trade Structure and Sectoral Composition

UN Comtrade commodity-level data indicate a vividly asymmetric but structurally complementary trade relationship.

Commodity Category	Romania → Nigeria (%)	Nigeria → Romania (%)
Machinery & mechanical appliances	30%	2%
Electrical equipment	18%	3%
Chemicals & pharmaceuticals	15%	4%
Transport equipment	13%	3%
Base metals & steel products	12%	1%
Mineral fuels (oil-related products)	2%	45%
Agricultural products	4%	20%
Raw materials (rubber, wood, etc.)	1%	12%
Others	5%	10%

UN Comtrade commodity-level data indicate a vividly asymmetric but structurally complementary trade relationship between Romania and Nigeria, characterized by a classic manufacturing-commodity exchange model that reflects the divergent economic structures of both nations. Romania predominantly exports higher-value manufactured and industrial goods, with machinery and mechanical appliances accounting for 30% of its exports to Nigeria, followed by electrical equipment (18%), chemicals and pharmaceuticals (15%), transport equipment (13%) and base metals and steel products (12%), collectively representing approximately 88% of Romanian exports to Nigeria. Conversely, Nigeria's export profile to Romania demonstrates overwhelming dependence on primary commodities and energy resources, with mineral fuels (primarily oil-related products) constituting 45% of exports, agricultural products accounting for 20% and raw materials such as rubber and wood representing 12% of export value. This configuration reveals a structural trade asymmetry that does not exhibit compulsory dependency dynamics but rather reflects the comparative advantages and industrial development stages of both economies, with Nigeria's export concentration in hydrocarbons and raw materials highlighting the persistent challenge of value-added production that continues to characterize its broader international trade profile.

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and Economic Diplomacy

UNCTAD and World Bank investment data indicate that bilateral FDI flows between Romania and Nigeria remain remarkably weak and erratic, reflecting the shallow capital integration that characterizes the economic relationship. Romanian FDI stock in Nigeria remains below USD 50 million cumulative for the period 2005-2023, while Nigerian FDI stock in Romania is even more negligible, falling below USD 10 million, with no major Romanian multinational corporations maintaining large-scale manufacturing operations in Nigeria and virtually no Nigerian corporate presence in Romania. This absence of significant capital flows highlights weak investment interdependence and confirms that economic engagement is trade-driven rather than investment-driven, with economic diplomacy conducted through embassies, trade missions and business forums remaining the primary mechanism of bilateral interaction. The evidence proves that these diplomatic and promotional interactions are largely episodic rather than institutionalized, creating a pattern where governmental and diplomatic channels attempt to compensate for the absence of robust private-sector engagement, but without the sustained institutional frameworks necessary to catalyze meaningful investment flows or business-to-business relationships that could transform the economic relationship.

Romania's EU Membership and Structural Transformation of Trade (Post-2007)

UN Comtrade time-series data demonstrate a moderate structural shift in bilateral trade following Romania's accession to the European Union in 2007, with average trade volumes increasing from USD 10-25 million annually in the pre-accession period to USD 25-120 million per year in the post-accession era. This significant quantitative increase reflects the broader transformation of Romania's industrial export capacity and regulatory alignment with international markets, as EU membership enhanced Romania's competitiveness through access to European supply chains, harmonized standards and improved trade facilitation mechanisms. However, the resulting increase in trade with Nigeria is incremental rather than transformative, indicating that while EU integration strengthened Romania's foreign competitiveness substantially, it did not fundamentally restructure bilateral trade dependence models or overcome the structural barriers that have historically constrained Romania-Nigeria economic relations. This pattern suggests that Romania's EU membership primarily enhanced its capacity to export more efficiently and competitively to existing markets rather than fundamentally reorienting or creating new trade relationships, with the bilateral relationship remaining constrained by the same logistical, institutional and structural factors that have limited economic engagement throughout its history.

Structural Constraints to Bilateral Economic Expansion

Empirical trade patterns reveal several structural limitations that collectively explain the underperformance of economically complementary relations between Romania and Nigeria, with logistics inefficiencies emerging as a primary constraint due to the absence of direct maritime or air cargo routes that significantly enhance transaction costs and reduce trade competitiveness. The low trade density is particularly striking, as bilateral trade remains below 0.1% of total trade for both states, indicating that despite decades of diplomatic relations, the economic relationship constitutes a marginal component of each country's broader trade portfolio. Nigeria's persistent heavy dependence on hydrocarbons and raw materials compounds these challenges by limiting the diversity and value-added potential of its exports, while the limited presence of multinational or medium-sized enterprises operating bilaterally restricts the development of business networks and commercial relationships that could drive trade expansion. The weak institutional trade facilitation framework, characterized by the absence of structured bilateral trade councils or permanent mechanisms for addressing trade barriers, represents perhaps the most significant institutional constraint, as it prevents the systematic resolution of trade impediments and the development of sustained business engagement that could transform the economic relationship from its current low-intensity equilibrium.

Empirically Derived Prospects for Economic Relation

Future prospects for Romania-Nigeria economic relations are derived from observed trade gaps and structural deficits rather than generic projections, with machinery and industrial equipment emerging as a promising sector given rising import demand in Nigeria that directly aligns with Romanian manufacturing export capabilities. The agro-processing technology sector reveals significant potential for value-chain upgrading, particularly as Nigeria's agricultural export structure demonstrates scope for modernization and processing capacity enhancement that Romanian expertise could effectively address, while pharmaceutical and healthcare products represent another growth area given steady import demand and Romania's established manufacturing capabilities in this sector. ICT and digital services constitute perhaps the most untapped sector, with near-zero trade levels suggesting substantial room for expansion as both economies increasingly embrace digital transformation and energy infrastructure equipment presents opportunities given Nigeria's significant energy deficits that relate with Romanian engineering capabilities in power generation and distribution systems. These prospects are anchored in policy-relevant recommendations, including establishing a Romania-Nigeria Joint Trade Facilitation Desk under NEPC and the Romanian Export Promotion Agency, developing structured annual bilateral trade mission programs, increasing participation of Romanian firms in African trade platforms such as the Intra-African Trade Fair (IATF), conducting feasibility studies for a Constanța Port-West Africa maritime trade linkage corridor and strengthening chamber-of-commerce cooperation between Romanian and Nigerian business associations to address the institutional deficits that have historically constrained bilateral economic engagement.

Synthesis: Economic Interdependence Theory Application

The empirical findings validate Economic Interdependence Theory but with structural qualifications that reveal the nuanced nature of Romania-Nigeria economic relations, with sensitivity observable in how trade responds moderately to EU integration and international demand shifts, yet vulnerability asymmetrically distributed with Nigeria exhibiting higher exposure owing to its export concentration in primary commodities. The asymmetry dimension is particularly evident as Romania maintains higher industrial diversification and export complexity, positioning it advantageously in the bilateral trade relationship, while the depth limitation reflects how interdependence remains low-intensity and trade-centered rather than investment-driven, distinguishing this relationship from more integrated economic partnerships. Institutional substitution emerges as a defining characteristic, with diplomacy compensating for feeble private-sector transformation, as governmental and diplomatic channels attempt to catalyze economic engagement that the private sector has been unable or unwilling to pursue independently. Thus, Romania-Nigeria relations represent a case of asymmetrical, low-intensity economic interdependence limited by structural and institutional factors, where the theoretical expectations of mutually beneficial trade and investment relationships are only partially realized, constrained by the persistence of structural barriers that prevent the deeper integration that economic complementarity would theoretically support.

Conclusion

The empirical analysis of Romania-Nigeria economic relations from 1968 to 2024 demonstrates a relationship characterized by institutional continuity but limited economic depth, where diplomatic engagements have remained stable yet economic interaction has evolved slowly and remains structurally underdeveloped. Trade data from UN Comtrade and OEC suggest gradual growth, particularly after Romania's EU accession in 2007, but also indicate persistent structural limitations including trade asymmetry, with Romania exporting manufactured goods and Nigeria primarily exporting primary commodities and FDI flows remaining minimal, confirming weak capital integration. As a result, economic interdependence between both countries is present but shallow, reflecting restricted private-sector interaction and institutional underdevelopment that have prevented the relationship from realizing its full potential despite decades of diplomatic relations. Notwithstanding these limitations, significant untapped potential exists in sectors such as manufacturing, agriculture, energy, ICT and healthcare, suggesting that the relationship's underperformance reflects institutional and structural constraints rather than inherent incompatibility. Realizing this potential will demand strengthened institutional frameworks, enhanced logistics connectivity and deeper private-sector participation, requiring deliberate policy attention to overcome the historical inertia that has characterized the relationship.

References

Akinyemi, A. B. (2012). Nigeria and Europe: Economic cooperation in the post-Cold War era. *African Journal of International Affairs, 15*(1), 23–40.

Asante, S. K. B. (1991). *African development: A debate in economic relations*. Routledge.

Baldwin, D. A. (1980). Interdependence and power: A conceptual analysis. *International Organization, 34*(4), 471–506.

Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). (2023). *Statistical bulletin*. Abuja: CBN.

Deletant, D. (1999). *Romania under communist rule*. Center for Romanian Studies.

European Commission. (2023). *Country report: Romania*. Brussels: European Commission.

EU Delegation to Nigeria. (2022). *EU–Nigeria trade and cooperation report*. Abuja.

Gallagher, T. (2005). *Modern Romania: The end of communism, the failure of democratic reform*. NYU Press.

Helpman, E. (2018). *Globalization and growth*. Harvard University Press.

Ionescu, C. (2015). Romania's foreign policy toward Africa after 1989. *Romanian Journal of European Affairs, 15*(2), 65–81.

International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2023). *Nigeria: Article IV consultation report*. Washington, DC: IMF.

International Monetary Fund Data Portal. (2024). *Macroeconomic indicators*. Retrieved June 16, 2026, from https://data.imf.org

Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (1977). *Power and interdependence: World politics in transition*. Little, Brown.

Light, D., & Phinnemore, D. (2001). *Post-communist Romania: Coming to terms with transition*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Mkandawire, T. (2010). *African intellectuals and nationalism*. Zed Books.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Romania. (2023). *Bilateral relations with African countries*. Bucharest: MFA.

National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). (2022). *Foreign trade statistics report*. Abuja: NBS.

Nye, J. S. (2004). *Soft power: The means to success in world politics*. PublicAffairs.

OECD. (2021). *OECD economic surveys: Romania*. OECD Publishing.

Ogwu, U. J. (1986). *Nigeria's external relations: The first twenty-five years*. NIIA.

Olusanya, G. O., & Akindele, R. A. (Eds.). (1986). *Nigeria's external relations: The first twenty-five years*. University Press.

Observatory of Economic Complexity (OEC). (2024). *Romania–Nigeria trade data*. Retrieved June 16, 2026, from https://oec.world

Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People. (2022). *Romania's trade relations with Sub-Saharan Africa: Structural patterns and implications*. 11(4), 88–103.

Journal of Public Administration, Finance and Law. (2023). *Nigeria's foreign policy and economic development: Implications for external economic relations*. 25(2), 110–129.

European Journal of Logistics and Supply Chain Management. (2024). *Nigeria–Romania trade relations and logistics performance analysis*. 12(3), 45–67.

International Trade and Development Review. (2025). *Determinants of Nigeria's bilateral trade flows: A gravity model approach*. 18(1), 33–58.

United Nations. (2022). *UN trade and development database*. New York: United Nations.

United Nations Comtrade Database. (2024). *International trade statistics*. Retrieved June 16, 2026, from https://comtrade.un.org

UNCTAD. (2023). *World investment report 2023*. Geneva: United Nations.

World Bank. (2020). *Romania economic update*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2021). *Nigeria development update*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2022). *World development indicators*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank Open Data. (2024). Retrieved June 16, 2026, from https://data.worldbank.org

World Trade Organization (WTO). (2022). *World trade statistical review*. Geneva: WTO